

Food and Nutrition Service Mountain Plains Region 1244 Speer Boulevard Denver, CO 80204

APR 0 6 1993

Reply to Attn. of:

SFSP-194

Subject:

Improper Determination of Site Eligibility in the Summer Food Service Program (SFSP)

To:

STATE AGENCY DIRECTORS (Special Nutrition Programs)

Colorado ED, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri DH, Montana OPI, Nebraska ED, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming DHSS

Attached is a letter which describes a method for manipulating census tract data to determine SFSP site eligibility. This method seems to rest on the belief that, because children are more likely to be impoverished than adults, any given area would automatically have higher rates of child poverty than adult poverty. This, of course, is not necessarily true. Any number of variables in a given census block or tract could render this method invalid. The attached method of estimating free and reduced price eligibility is not statistically valid and may not be used in the SFSP.

Feel free to contact our office for any additional information or clarification you may need at (303) 844-0359.

ANN C. HECTOR

Regional Director

ann C. Hector

Special Nutrition Programs

Attachment

SES E. MESSION

Spokene, HA ERON IAN

509.484.5733

PAX: 500 483 0545

March 10, 1993

Betty Marcelynas
Director, Child Nutrition Services
Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction

Old Capitol Building Olympia, WA 98504

1918 - 10 N. Pourt Sty. INE TECOMO, MA 20108-2:20 208-627-5750

PAX: 200.027.0310

Dear Betty:

I am writing to ask you to authorize use of a variation of our Census data analysis to eligibilize sites for the Summer Food Service Program. This request comes out of some thinking I've been doing since participating in a workshop on use of census data at the FRAC conference. The other presenter at the workshop, Bill Cooper from Virginia, had developed a way to estimate the number of children with income below 185% of poverty using the actual number of children living below poverty and a ratio of the total persons below poverty to the total persons below 185% of poverty. I was intrigued with his methodology because the big flaw in ours — that is, in using the percentage of total persons below 185% of poverty — is that we know that there is a higher concentration of poverty among children than among the total population.

I met yesterday with Evie Bennett from Spokane School District. We examined the census data information provided by Sammamish data (the percentage of total persons living below 185% of poverty) as well as school lunch data for each school she is considering for SFSP. Three sites where the district is offering either summer child care or remedial programs did not look immediately eligible. I took a second look at data for the three schools.

One of the schools, Adams Elementary, in April of last year had:45% of enrollment eligible for free or reduced-price meals. The census data for the block group where the school is located showed 45% as well. However, when I used Bill Cooper's variation based on the number of children in poverty in the block group, I came up with 53% of children in the block group living below 185% of poverty.

This is how i did it: I found the number of children under 18 with income below 100% of poverty (36). Then I found the number of persons with income below 100% of poverty (56) and the number of persons with income below 185% of poverty (590). The ratio between these two

A Project of Northwest 1

figures is 6.9, that is, 590 is 6.9 times 86. Then I multiplied this ratio factor by the number of coren under 18 in poverty (36) and came up with 248. This figure (248) is 53% of the children in the block group. It is probably a low estimate, however, because we know that there in higher rate of poverty for children than for adults.

I'd appreciate it if you would let me know if you think this is an acceptable estimate on which base site eligibility for SFSP. I, obviously, think that it is. If you agree, I may ask Rick Schweit at Sammamish Data to run a set of tables providing this data which we can use now and, in a minolusive manner, next year to help expand SFSP. By the way, I have yet to receive any satist tory maps from Rick so we need to keep after that -- don't pay him for any maps yet, though!

Thanks. I know this calculation stuff gets confusing, so give me a call if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Linda Stone

Project Director